

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6345 Case file # 95-251534.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No transcript.

CRM - 6178

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by:

David W. Hall

Area(s) of Expertise:

Forensic Botany

Review commenced at:

1:20 PM (Time), 22 Sept. 2004 (Date)

File #:

95-251534

Laboratory #(s):

20927082 SUKUL QX RQ

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>Malone (RQ)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of:

None

Testimony Date(s):

2

Pages:

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number:

20927082 SUKUL QX

Date:

8 Nov. 1982

Laboratory Number:

RQ

Date:

Laboratory Number:

Date:

Examiner Bench Notes of:

Malone (RQ)

Laboratory Number:

20927082 SUKUL QX RQ

Page

1 of 3

CRM - 6179

Initials:

DWH

Was any other material reviewed? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: _____

Results of Review

File #: 93-251534

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: _____

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: PWR

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:

95-251534

- 1) Notes do not mention equipment, resources, Techniques.
Log of how samples packaged, but no condition or numbers of
pieces in sample. In several instances slides were made -
of what? How is evidence stored in lab?
bench bench
- 2) Results reflect lab notes, but lab notes are superficial.
Each piece/fragment/part should be mentioned with
result.

Review completed at:

1:45

(Time),

22 Sept. 2004

(Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):

0.5

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review
are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 28 pages.

Daniel W. Hall

(Signature)

22 Sept. 2004

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: DWH